

(d) *Restriction on fishing in the EEZ.* A vessel fishing under a state water's exemption may not fish in the EEZ during that time.

(e) *Duration of exemption.* An exemption expires upon a change in the vessel's name or ownership.

(f) *Applicability of other provisions of this part.* A vessel fishing under the exemptions provided by paragraphs (a) and/or (b) of this section remains subject to all other requirements of this part.

(g) *Possession restriction exemption.* Any vessel issued a limited access permit that is exempt under paragraph (a) of this section from the DAS requirements of §648.53(b), or any vessel issued a general scallop permit is exempt from the possession restrictions specified in §648.52(a) while fishing exclusively landward of the outer boundary of the waters of a state that has been determined by the Regional Administrator under paragraph (b)(3) of this section to have a scallop fishery and a scallop conservation program that does not jeopardize the fishing mortality/effort reduction objectives of the Scallop FMP, provided the vessel complies with paragraphs (c) through (f) of this section.

[61 FR 34968, July 3, 1996, as amended at 62 FR 14649, Mar. 27, 1997; 62 FR 43470, Aug. 14, 1997]

§ 648.55 Framework specifications.

(a) Annually, or upon a request from the NEFMC, the Regional Administrator will provide the NEFMC with information on the status of the scallop resource.

(b) Within 60 days of receipt of that information, the NEFMC PDT shall assess the condition of the scallop resource to determine the adequacy of the total allowable DAS reduction schedule, described in §648.53(b), and of other management measures to achieve the stock-rebuilding objectives. In addition, the PDT shall make a determination whether other resource conservation issues exist that require a management response in order to meet the goals and objectives outlined in the Scallop FMP. The PDT shall report its findings and recommendations to the NEFMC. In its report to the NEFMC, the PDT shall

provide the appropriate rationale and economic and biological analysis for its recommendation, utilizing the most current catch, effort, and other relevant data from the fishery.

(c) Based on this review, the NEFMC PDT shall recommend total allowable DAS reduction schedules and develop options necessary to achieve the FMP goals and objectives, which may include a preferred option. The NEFMC PDT must demonstrate through analysis and documentation that the options it develops are expected to meet the Scallop FMP goals and objectives. The range of options developed by the NEFMC PDT may include any of the management measures in the Scallop FMP, including, but not limited to the categories described in §648.53(d).

(d) After receiving the PDT findings and recommendations, the NEFMC shall determine whether adjustments to, or additional management measures are necessary to meet the goals and objectives of the Scallop FMP. After considering the PDT's findings and recommendations, or at any other time, if the NEFMC determines that adjustments to, or additional management measures are necessary, it shall develop and analyze appropriate management actions over the span of at least two NEFMC meetings. The NEFMC shall provide the public with advance notice of the availability of both the proposals and the analyses, and opportunity to comment on them prior to and at the second NEFMC meeting. The NEFMC's recommendation on adjustments or additions to management measures must come from one or more of the following categories:

- (1) DAS changes.
- (2) Shell height.
- (3) Offloading window reinstatement.
- (4) Effort monitoring.
- (5) Data reporting.
- (6) Trip limits.
- (7) Gear restrictions.
- (8) Permitting restrictions.
- (9) Crew limits.
- (10) Small mesh line.
- (11) Onboard observers.
- (12) Modifications to the overfishing definition.
- (13) VMS Demarcation Line for DAS monitoring.

- (14) DAS allocations by gear type.
- (15) Temporary leasing of scallop DAS requiring full public hearings.
- (16) Scallop size restrictions, except a minimum size or weight of individual scallop meats in the catch.
- (17) Aquaculture enhancement measures and closures.
- (18) Closed areas to lessen the amount of DAS reductions.
- (19) Closed areas to increase the size of scallops caught.
- (20) Modifications to the opening dates of closed areas.
- (21) Any other management measures currently included in the FMP.
- (e) The Council may make recommendations to the Regional Administrator to implement measures in accordance with the procedures described in this subpart to address gear conflict as defined under 50 CFR 600.10. In developing such recommendation, the Council shall define gear management areas, each not to exceed 2700 mi² (5000.4 km²), and seek industry comments by referring the matter to its standing industry advisory committee for gear conflict, or to any ad hoc industry advisory committee that may be formed. The standing industry advisory committee or ad hoc committee on gear conflict shall hold public meetings seeking comments from affected fishers and develop findings and recommendations on addressing the gear conflict. After receiving the industry advisory committee findings and recommendations, or at any other time, the Council shall determine whether it is necessary to adjust or add management measures to address gear conflicts and which FMPs must be modified to address such conflicts. If the Council determines that adjustments or additional measures are necessary, it shall develop and analyze appropriate management actions for the relevant FMPs over the span of at least two Council meetings. The Council shall provide the public with advance notice of the availability of the recommendation, the appropriate justification and economic and biological analyses, and opportunity to comment on them prior to and at the second or final Council meeting before submission to the Regional Administrator. The Council's recommendation on ad-

justments or additions to management measures for gear conflicts must come from one or more of the following categories:

- (1) Monitoring of a radio channel by fishing vessels.
- (2) Fixed gear location reporting and plotting requirements.
- (3) Standards of operation when gear conflict occurs.
- (4) Fixed gear marking and setting practices.
- (5) Gear restrictions for specific areas (including time and area closures).
- (6) Vessel monitoring systems.
- (7) Restrictions on the maximum number of fishing vessels or amount of gear.
- (8) Special permitting conditions.
- (f) The measures shall be evaluated and approved by the relevant committees with oversight authority for the affected FMPs. If there is disagreement between committees, the Council may return the proposed framework adjustment to the standing or ad hoc gear conflict committee for further review and discussion.
- (g) After developing management actions and receiving public testimony, the NEFMC shall make a recommendation to the Regional Administrator. The NEFMC's recommendation must include supporting rationale and, if management measures are recommended, an analysis of impacts and a recommendation to the Regional Administrator on whether to publish the management measures as a final rule. If the NEFMC recommends that the management measures should be published as a final rule, the NEFMC must consider at least the following factors and provide support and analysis for each factor considered:
 - (1) Whether the availability of data on which the recommended management measures are based allows for adequate time to publish a proposed rule, and whether regulations have to be in place for an entire harvest/fishing season.
 - (2) Whether there has been adequate notice and opportunity for participation by the public and members of the affected industry in the development of the NEFMC's recommended management measures.

Fishery Conservation and Management

§ 648.57

(3) Whether there is an immediate need to protect the resource or to impose management measures to resolve gear conflicts.

(4) Whether there will be a continuing evaluation of management measures adopted following their promulgation as a final rule.

(h) If the NEFMC's recommendation includes adjustments or additions to management measures, and if, after reviewing the NEFMC's recommendation and supporting information:

(1) The Regional Administrator concurs with the NEFMC's recommended management measures and determines that the recommended management measures may be published as a final rule based on the factors specified in paragraph (d) of this section, the action will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as a final rule; or

(2) The Regional Administrator concurs with the NEFMC's recommendation and determines that the recommended management measures should be published first as a proposed rule, the action will be published as a proposed rule in the FEDERAL REGISTER. After additional public comment, if the Regional Administrator concurs with the NEFMC recommendation, the action will be published as a final rule in the FEDERAL REGISTER; or

(3) The Regional Administrator does not concur, the NEFMC will be notified, in writing, of the reasons for the non-concurrence.

(i) If the Regional Administrator concurs in the NEFMC's recommendation, a final rule shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on or about February 1 of each year. If the NEFMC fails to submit a recommendation to the Regional Administrator by December 1 that meets the FMP goals and objectives, the Regional Administrator may publish as a proposed rule one of the options reviewed and not rejected by the NEFMC, provided that the option meets the FMP objective and is consistent with other applicable law. If, after considering public comment, the Regional Administrator decides to approve the option published as a proposed rule, the action will be published as a final rule in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(j) Nothing in this section is meant to derogate from the authority of the Secretary to take emergency action under section 305(e) of the Magnuson Act.

[61 FR 34968, July 3, 1996, as amended at 62 FR 1404, Jan. 10, 1997; 64 FR 14839, Mar. 29, 1999]

§ 648.57 Closed and regulated areas.

(a) *Hudson Canyon Sea Scallop Access Area.* Through February 28, 2003, except as provided in §§ 648.52 and 648.58, no vessel may fish for scallops in or land scallops from the area known as the Hudson Canyon Sea Scallop Access Area, and no vessel may possess scallops in the Hudson Canyon Sea Scallop Access Area, unless such vessel is only transiting the area with all fishing gear unavailable for immediate use as defined in § 648.23(b), or, there is a compelling safety reason to be in such areas without all such gear being unavailable for immediate use. The Hudson Canyon Sea Scallop Access Area (copies of a chart depicting this area are available from the Regional Administrator upon request) is defined by straight lines connecting the following points in the order stated:

Point	Latitude	Longitude
H1	39 30' N.	73 10' W.
H2	39 30' N.	72 30' W.
H3	38 30' N.	73 30' W.
H4	38 40' N.	73 50' W.

(b) *Virginia Beach Sea Scallop Access Area.* Through February 28, 2003, except as provided in §§ 648.52 and 648.58, no vessel may fish for scallops in or land scallops from the area known as the Virginia Beach Sea Scallop Access Area, and no vessel may possess scallops in the Virginia Beach Sea Scallop Access Area, unless such vessel is only transiting the areas with all fishing gear unavailable for immediate use as defined in § 648.23(b), or, there is a compelling safety reason to be in such areas without all such gear being unavailable for immediate use. The Virginia Beach Sea Scallop Access Area (copies of a chart depicting this area are available from the Regional Administrator upon request) is defined by straight lines connecting the following points in the order stated: